You have just heard both layers making their final arguments to you in which they urged you to make certain inferences and conclusions which they want you to reasonably and logically draw from the evidence in the case.

As I have instructed you from the beginning of the trial, these summations by the lawyers are not evidence. It is the function of the jury to draw it's own inference and conclusion from the evidence as you recollect the evidence and as you find the evidence credible and believable.

As I indicated to you prior to the summation by the lawyers, you are at liberty to adopt those inferences, conclusions, and arguments of the lawyers which you find persuasive and to reject those which you do not agree with or do not find persuasive.

As I stated to you at the beginning of the trial, you are the judges of the facts. I am the Judge of the law. You must accept the law as I instruct you, whether you agree with the law or not.

I have made various rulings on objections made by either lawyer and on other areas of the law. You must not infer anything from these rulings, nor from anything I may have said during the trial.

Further, you must not draw any inference or

conclusion from an answer which I did not allow to be answered or from any testimony which I ordered stricken from the record.

Neither by these instructions, nor by my rulings or remarks that I have made do I mean to indicate any opinion as to the facts or as to what your verdict should be.

As triers of the fact, it is up to you to determine whether to believe a witness, and it is up to you to determine how much of that witness' testimony to accept and how much to reject.

You must use your common sense in evaluating all testimony in the same way you apply it in your everyday lives to determine who is telling you the truth and who is telling you something less than the full truth.

It is your duty to determine the facts and to determine them only from the evidence in the case. You are to apply the law to the facts and that way decide the case.

You must not be governed or influenced by sympathy or prejudice for or against any party in this case. Your verdict must be based upon the evidence and not upon speculation, guess, or conjecture.

As you saw each lawyer question witnesses, on occasion, I also questioned a witness. You are instructed not to give any different weight to testimony elicited by

the Assistant District Attorney and by the defense attorney than you give to testimony elicited from me. In other words, do not attach any special weight to any testimony simply because I asked a question.

There's some more instructions which I gave at the beginning of the trial which I'm going to repeat now. First, you heard me at the beginning of the trial refer to the accusatory instrument which stated the offenses the defendant was alleged to have committed.

Again, this accusatory instrument is nothing more than a piece of paper containing an accusation. It is not proof of anything, nor is it evidence of anything. It is merely a device required by the law to inform the defendant of the charges against him.

Second, the People have the burden of proof.

The defendant has no burden of proof and is not required to do anything to prove his innocence. The defendant has the option of just sitting back and not doing a thing.

Why?

Because the defense has no burden of proof, and the defendant is presumed innocent.

The defendant is not required to put on a defense or call any witnesses, because of the presumption of innocence. In a case where the defendant chooses not to take the stand to testify in his behalf, you may not draw

any negative inference from that. In other words, you may not hold that against the defendant.

The defendant is not required to put on a defense or call any witnesses, because of the presumption of innocence. Since the defendant did not take the stand to testify in his own behalf in this case, I now instruct you that you may not draw any negative inference from that. In other words, you may not hold that against the defendant.

The burden of proof never shifts. The burden of proof remains with the People throughout the trial the same way the presumption of innocence remains with the defendant from the beginning of the trial, and it is not removed unless there comes a time when during your deliberations you are convinced that the People have proven the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Therefore, if, in your mind, during your deliberation, the People have not met their burden of proof and the presumption of innocence has not been overcome by proof which convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt of the defendant's guilt, then you must find the defendant not guilty.

If, on the other hand, in your mind, during your deliberations, the People have met their burden of proof and the presumption of innocence has been overcome by

4 5

proof which convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty, then you must find the defendant guilty.

Third, there's a standard of proof. Again, the People are required to prove the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. This doubt does not require the People to prove the defendant's guilt beyond all doubt or to a mathematical certainty.

The People do, however, have to prove the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. A doubt is an actual doubt which you have after you have given consideration to all of the evidence in this case.

all of that evidence, you feel uncertain and not fully convinced of the defendant's guilt and you are satisfied in entertaining such doubt, you are acting as a reasonable person should act in a matter of this importance, and then that is a reasonable doubt of which the defendant is entitled. If you entertain such a doubt of the defendant's quilt, you must find the defendant not guilty.

If, on the other hand, the evidence convinces you that the defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the defendant guilty.

A doubt is not a reasonable doubt if instead of being based on the nature and quality of the evidence or

based on the lack of evidence, the doubt is based on a guess or a whim or speculation unrelated to the evidence.

Reasonable doubt may be found not only in the evidence, but in the lack of evidence in the case.

In a few minutes I will be instructing you regarding the laws as it relates to the charges in this case. In those instructions, I'll tell you about each element of the offenses charge.

What you need to know now is that this burden of proving the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt attaches to each and every element of each offense charged.

Finally, with regard to this part of my instruction, you are instructed not to consider or speculate on matters concerning possible sentencing or punishment the defendant may face if convicted. You must not discuss these matters, nor must your deliberations in anyway be influenced by such matters. Prejudice and sympathy have no part in your deliberations.

This concludes my instruction to you regarding the general principles of law and the constitutional safeguards which are applicable to all criminal cases.

Now, I'll instruct you concerning evidence.

Evidence consists of oral testimony under oath, stipulations by the parties, and physical exhibits which during the trial were introduced by either side and

which the Court allowed into evidence.

Questions that were asked by either lawyer or even by me are not in and of themselves evidence. Only questions which are coupled with answers are evidence. Therefore, you may not infer any fact from the mere asking of a question.

Any testimony of a witness which I ordered stricken from the record or any exhibits which were marked for identification, but never allowed into evidence, are to be totally disregarded by you. Comments or remarks made by either lawyer are not evidence and, therefore, must be disregard by you.

The People and the defendant may, under our law and as a matter of right, call and examine witnesses.

When these witnesses testify, the other party as a right to cross examine. There's no duty whatsoever on the defense to call any witness, since, as I have previously explained, the burden is on the People to prove each and every essential element of the offenses charged beyond a reasonable doubt, and this burden never shifts.

As judges of the facts, it is up to you to determine the alternate issue of fact, whether the People have proven the defendant's guilt of these charges beyond a reasonable doubt. This determination will turn upon your estimate of the credibility of each witness' testimony and

the weight to be accorded to such testimony.

The credibility of each witness is your determination. You must determine whether each witness who testified did so truthfully or whether any witness testified falsely.

You must decide whether a witness's recollection of the events about which that witness testified is accurate and reliable or inaccurate and unreliable.

In order to make this judgment, I ask you to draw upon your experience in your everday lives. In your everyday lives, you make judgments concerning the reliability of statements made to you by others. The same way you do this in your everyday lives you should apply in your deliberations as jurors.

There are a few tests which you may wish to use. For example, is the witness an interested or disinterested witness; is the testimony of the witness plausible and, therefore, likely to be true or is it implausible and, therefore, unlikely to be true; is the testimony of the witness consistent with other evidence in the case; did the witness strike you as a person being frank, open, and certain or evasive, deceptive, or unsure; did the witness' testimony as to events appear to be accurate, or did it appear to be hazy; how did the

witness's demeanor appear to you as you observed the witness testify.

Also, should you, in the course of your deliberation, conclude that any witness has intentionally testified falsely to a material fact during the testimony, you may disregard all of that witness's testimony on the principle that anyone who testifies falsely as to one material fact might also testify falsely as to other material facts.

However, you are not required to find such a witness as totally unworthy of being believed. You may accept a portion of that witness' testimony that you believe to be true and reject the portion that you conclude to be false.

The defendant did not testify in this case.

Again, I charge you that the fact that the defendant did

not testify is not a factor from which any inference

unfavorable to the defendant may be drawn.

Police officers testified in this case. You should use the same tests in evaluating the testimony of police officers as you will use in evaluating the testimony of any other witnesses. The mere fact that those witnesses are police officers does not require you to give their testimony any greater or lesser credibility than you would afford to any other witness.

1 | 2 |

You will recall that Kyra Keblish, a criminalist, testified about certain scientific matters concerning DNA testing and gave an opinion as to the matters about which she testified.

You will also recall that Alice Olosunda, a registered nurse, testified about certain medical matters involving the science of nursing, mid-wifery, sexual assault forensic examination, and gave an opinion as to the matters about which she testified.

Ordinarily, a witness is limited to testifying about facts and is not permitted to give an opinion.

Where, however, scientific, medical, technical, or other specialized knowledge will help the jury understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue, a witness with expertise in a specialized field may render opinions about such matters.

You should evaluate testimony of any such witness just as you would the testimony of any other witness. You may accept or reject such testimony in whole or in part, just as you may with respect to the testimony of any other witness.

In deciding whether or not to accept such testimony, you should consider the following: The qualifications and believability of that witness; the facts and other circumstances upon which that witness' opinion

was based; the accuracy or inaccuracy of any assumed or hypothetical fact upon which the opinion was based; the reasons given for the witness' opinion; and whether that witness' opinion is consistent or inconsistent with other evidence in the case.

During the course of this trial, the Court has received and marked into evidence a number of exhibits.

Those exhibits are 1, a diagram; 2, five photographs on a board; 3, eight photographs on a board -- there's a list, and we need to get the most recent version of that list.

I'll start all over. 1, a diagram, which is a diagram of the complainant's apartment; 2, five photographs on a board; 3, eight photographs on a board; 4, six photographs on a board; 5, the duvet; 6, a robe; 7, three photographs, 8, A, C, D, containing a 911 call; 9, a rape kit; 10, a large bag which voucherred the duvet; 11, a street map; 12, DNA files; 13, a chart showing DNA results; 14, 15, and 16 are Verizon records; 17, two photographs; 18, a Miranda warnings sheet; 19, DNA consent form; 20 a consent to search form; 21, two photographs of a lineup; 22, medical records; 23, a diagram of the female genitalia.

These exhibits together with the testimony which you heard in this trial constitute the evidence.

Please, remember that any exhibits which were marked for identification, but which were not received into evidence,

cannot and must not be considered by you during your deliberations.

If during your deliberation you decide that you wish to examine any or all of the exhibits, please, request them in a brief note to the Court.

Among the exhibits received into evidence were photographs. These photographs intend to portray various locations or objects relevant to the issues in this case. These photographs were received into evidence to assist you, the jury, in making your evaluation of the testimony relating to these locations, scenes, or objects depicted in them.

You are the sole judges of the accuracy of these photographs, and you are the sole judges of the weight to be given to these photographs.

At this point, ladies and gentlemen, we turn our attention to the definition and rules applicable to the specific offenses that will be submitted to you for your final determination.

Count 1 of the indictment charges the defendant with Burglary in the First Degree. Under our law, a person is guilty of Burglary in the First Degree when that person knowingly remains unlawfully in a dwelling with the intent to commit a crime therein or when in effecting entry or while in the dwelling or in immediate

flight therefrom that person uses or threatens the immediate use of a dangerous instrument.

Some of the terms used in this definition have their own special meaning in our law. I will now give you the meaning of the following terms -- "dwelling,"
"unlawfully," "knowingly," "intent," and "dangerous instrument."

A dwelling is a building which is usually occupied by a person lodging therein at night. Where a building consists of two or more units separately secured or occupied, each unit shall be deemed both a separate building in itself and a part of the building.

A person remains unlawfully in a dwelling when that person has no license or privilege to remain in that dwelling. To have no license or privilege to remain means to have no right, permission, or authority to do so.

A person knowingly remains unlawfully in a dwelling when that person is aware that he or she is remaining in such dwelling without license or privilege to do so.

Intent means conscious objective or purpose.

Thus, a person has the intent to commit a crime in a dwelling when that person's conscious objective or purpose is to commit a crime in that dwelling.

The crime of burglary is separate and distinct

from any crime which a person may commit within the

dwelling. The crime of burglary is complete when a person

knowingly remains in a dwelling unlawfully and does so with

the intent to commit a crime in the dwelling, regardless of

whether that person ever commits or even attempts to commit

any crime in the dwelling.

Dangerous instrument means any instrument,

article or substance including a vehicle, which under the

Dangerous instrument means any instrument, article, or substance, including a vehicle, which under the circumstances in which it is used, attempted to be used, or threatened to be used, is readily capable of causing death or other serious physical injury, that is, serious and protracted disfigurement, protracted impairment of health or protracted loss or impairment of the function of any bodily organ. Under that definition, death or other serious physical injury need not be, in fact, caused.

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove from all of the evidence in the case beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following four elements:

One, that on or about March 26th, 2003, in the County of Kings, the defendant, Dennis colon, unlawfully remained in a dwelling located at 1711 East 15th Street, Apartment 1-A in Brooklyn, New York.

Two, that the defendant did so knowingly.

Three, that the defendant did so with the

intent to commit a crime inside the dwelling.

Four, that while in the dwelling or in immediate flight therefrom, the defendant used or threatened the immediate use of a dangerous instrument, namely, a knife.

Therefore, if you find that the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt each of those elements, you must find the defendant guilty of the crime of Burglary in the First Degree as charged in Count 1.

On the other hand, if you find that the people have not proven beyond a reasonable doubt any one or more of those elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime of Burglary in the First Degree as charged in Count 1.

Count 2 of the indictment charges the defendant with Rape in the First Degree. Under our law, a person is guilty of Rape in the First Degree when he or she engages in sexual intercourse with another person without that person's consent by means of forcible compulsion.

I'll now give you the meaning of the following terms used in that definitions -- sexual intercourse without a person's consent and forcible compulsion.

Sexual intercourse has it's ordinary meaning and occurs upon penetration, however, slight. Sexual intercourse occurs without a person's consent when it

results from forcible compulsion.

Forcible compulsion means to intentionally compel, either by the use of physical force or by a threat, express or implied, which places a person in fear of immediate death or physical injury to himself or herself or in fear that he or she will immediately be kidnapped.

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove from all of the evidence in the case beyond a reasonable doubt both of the following two elements:

One, that on or about March 26th, 2003, in the County of Kings, the defendant, Dennis Colon, engaged in sexual intercourse with Vera Krioutchkova.

Two, that the defendant did so without Vera
Krioutchkova's consent as a result of the defendant's use
of forcible compulsion.

Therefore, if you find the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt both of those elements, you must find the defendant guilty of Rape in the First Degree as charged in Count 2.

On the other hand, if you find that the People have not proven beyond a reasonable doubt either one or both of those elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime of Rape in the First Degree as charged in Count 2.

Count 3 charges the defendant with the crime of Sexual Abuse in the First Degree. Under our law, a person is guilty of Sexual Abuse in the First Degree when he or she subjects another person to sexual contact by forcible compulsion.

Under our law, it is also an element of this offense that the sexual act was committed without consent. The sexual contact takes place without a person's consent, when the lack of consent results in forcible compulsion.

Some of these terms used in this definition have their own special meaning in our law. I'll now give you the meaning of the following terms -- sexual contact without a person's consent and forcible compulsion.

Sexual contact means any touching of the sexual or other intimate parts of a person for the purpose of gratifying the sexual desire of either person. It includes the touching of the actor by that person as well as the touching of that person by the actor, whether directly or through clothing.

I have previously defined for you the term forcible compulsion. Sexual contact takes place without a person's consent when it results from forcible compulsion.

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove from all of the evidence in the case beyond a reasonable doubt both of

the following two elements:

One, that on or about March 26th, 2003, in the County of Kings, the defendant, Dennis Colon, subjected Vera Krioutchkova to sexual contact by touching his finger to her vagina, and, two, that the defendant did so without Vera Krioutchkova's consent by the use of forcible compulsion.

Therefore, if you find that the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt both of those elements, you must find the defendant guilty of the crime of Sexual Abuse in the First Degree as charged in Count 3.

On the other hand, if you find that the People have not proven beyond a reasonable doubt either one or both of those elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime of Sexual Abuse in the First Degree as charged in Count 3.

Count 4 of the indictment charges the defendant with the crime of Assault in the Second Degree. Under our law, a person is guilty of Assault in the Second degree when, in the course of and in furtherance of the commission of a felony, which in this case would be the commission of the felonies of Burglary in the First Degree, Rape in the First Degree, Sex Abuse in the First Degree, then that person causes physical injury to another person other than one of the participants in the crime.

Some of the terms used in this definition have their own special meaning in our law. I'll now give you the meaning of the term "physical injury."

Physical injury means impairment of physical condition or substantial pain. If you find that physical injury was caused by the defendant, then it does not matter that the physical injury was caused unintentionally or accidentally rather than with an intention to cause physical injury or that it resulted from the victim's fear or fright.

I have previously defined for you the underlying felony offenses of Rape in the First Degree,

Sexual Abuse in the First Degree and Burglary in the First Degree.

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove from all the evidence in the case beyond a reasonable doubt the following two elements:

One, that on or about March 26th, 2003, in the County of Kings, the defendant, Dennis Colon, committed the crime of Burglary in the First Degree and or Rape in the First Degree and or Sexual Abuse in the First Degree, and, two, that in the course of and in furtherance of the commission of any of the felonies charged, namely, Burglary in the First Degree, Rape if the First Degree, or Sexual

б

Abuse in the First Degree, the defendant, in the commission of any of those felonies, caused physical injury to Vera Krioutchkova and that Vera Krioutchkova was not a participant in the felony.

Therefore, if you find that the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt both of those elements, you must find the defendant guilty of the crime of Assault in the Second Degree as charged in Count 4.

On the other hand, if you find that the People have not proven beyond a reasonable doubt either one or both of those elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime of Assault in the Second Degree as charged in Count 4.

Count 5 charges the defendant with the crime of Assault in the Second Degree. I shall now instruct first on the definition of the crime of Assault in the Second Degree which you just heard me do, and then I'll define for you an attempt to commit a crime, and then I shall put both definitions together and list for you the elements of the crime of Attempted Assault in the Second Degree.

Under our law, a person is guilty of Assault in the Second Degree when in furtherance of -- I'm sorry -- when in the course of and in furtherance of the commission of a felony, which in this case would be the commission of

the felonies of Burglary in the First Degree, Rape in the First Degree, or Sexual Abuse in the First Degree, that person causes physical injury to a person other than one of the participants in the crime.

Some of the terms used in this definition have their own special meaning in our law. I'll now give you the meaning of the term "physical injury." Physical injury means impairment of physical condition or substantial pain.

If you find that physical injury was caused by the defendant, it does not matter that the physical injury was caused intentionally or accidentally rather than with an intention to cause physical injury or it resulted from the victim's fear or fright.

I have previously defined for you the underlying felony offenses of Rape in the First Degree, Sexual Abuse in the First Degree, and Burglary in the First Degree.

I'll now define for you what constitutes an attempt to commit a crime. Under our law, a person is guilty of an attempt to commit a crime when with intent to commit a crime he or she engages in conduct which tends to effect the commission of such crime, in this case, the crime of Assault in the Second Degree.

Some of the terms used in this definition have their own special meaning in our law. I'll now give you

the meaning of the following term "intent" and "tends to effect."

б

Intent means a conscious objective, or purpose. Thus, a person acts with intent to commit a crime when his or her conscious objective or purpose is to commit that crime.

Conduct which tends to effect the commission of the crime means conduct which comes dangerously close or very near to the completion of the intended crime.

If a person intends to commit a crime or engages in conduct which carries his or her purpose forward within dangerous proximity to the completion of the intended crime, he or she is guilty of an attempt to commit that crime.

not actually committed. The person's conduct must be directed towards the accomplishment of the intended crime. It must go beyond planning and mere participation, but need not be the last act necessarily to effect the actual commission of the intended crime. Rather, the conduct involved must go far enough that it comes dangerously close or very near to the completion of the intended crime.

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of the crime of Attempted Assault in the Second Degree, the People are required to prove from all the evidence in the

case beyond a reasonable doubt both of the following two elements:

1.6

That on or about March 26th, 2003, in the County of Kings, the defendant, Dennis Colon, intended to commit the crime of Assault in the Second Degree, and, two, that the defendant engaged in conduct which tended to effect the commission of that crime.

Therefore, if you find that the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt both of those elements, you must find the defendant guilty of the crime of Attempted Assault in the Second Degree as charged in Count 5.

On the other hand, if you find that the People have not proven beyond a reasonable doubt either one or both of those elements, then you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime of Attempted Assault in the Second Degree as charged in Count 5.

Before you retire to deliberate, ladies and gentlemen, there's a few areas which I need to instruct you on.

In order to reach a verdict, each juror must agree to such verdict. You have the duty, as jurors, to consult with each other and to deliberate with a view to reaching an agreement, if it can be done without giving up individual judgment.

As jurors, each one of you must decide the case for yourself, but only after an impartial consideration of the evidence with your fellow jurors.

No juror should give up any honestly held conviction as to the weight or effect of the evidence solely because of the opinion of your fellow jurors or for the mere purpose of returning the verdict.

During the course of your deliberations, you, as individuals, should not hesitate to re-examine your own views and change your opinion if convinced it is erroneous.

If, during the course of your deliberations, your recollection of any part of the testimony should fail or should you find yourself in doubt concerning my instruction to you, you have the option to return to the courtroom for the purpose of having such testimony or instruction read back to you.

Your foreperson, Juror No. 1, should write out your request, sign it, and seal the note in the envelope provided to you by the court officer.

Give that sealed envelope to the court officer who will deliver the note to me.

As soon as the lawyers, the defendant, and court staff are assembled in the courtroom, you will be called back to the courtroom, and I'll promptly respond to your request as indicated.

Certain exhibits have been received in evidence during the course of this trial. They will be available to you for your examination during the course of your deliberation in the jury room.

After you have started deliberating, you may request that one or more of the exhibits which were received into evidence be delivered to you in the jury room. In order to get the exhibits delivered to you, make the request to the court officer, and the exhibits will be promptly delivered.

During any recess when you are absent from the jury room, all exhibits must be returned to the court officer. When you resume your deliberations, the exhibits will be returned to you.

In order to reach a verdict, all 12 members of the jury must agree. Your verdict must be unanimous.

Whenever all your members are in agreement on a verdict, you must report your verdict to the Court. When you have reached the verdict, simply tell the court officer that you have reached a verdict, and you will be promptly called back into the courtroom to announce your verdict.

Now, since our trial jury is about to retire to deliberate, I charge and I emphasize that there must be no further communications or contacts between the trial jury and the alternate jurors.

The alternate jurors will be provided a private room to await the rendition of the trial jury's verdict. You alternates must not discuss this case between yourself or with anyone else. You are also not to read anything about the case if it were to be reported or to permit anyone to discuss the case with you in your presence, nor are you to form any opinion as to the factual issues in the case, nor are you to form or express any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, unless and until such time as you may be required to participate in the trial jury's deliberation.

Will the lawyers approach.

(Brief discussion held off the record at the Judge's bench.)

THE COURT: Alternates, you are going to be discharged with the thanks of the Court. I now ask the court officer to take the alternates.

Now, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I am submitting this case to you for your final deliberation.

As I previously stated, the law and your oath require that you render a fair and impartial verdict without fear, favor, or sympathy.

Therefore, now take this case and in the fulfillment of your oath and in accordance with the instruction of the Court, render a true and impartial

ŀ	<i>3 ta</i>
1	verdict.
2	Follow the court officer. Take your books
3	with you.
4	(Whereupon, the jury exits the courtroom.)
5	THE COURT: The jury has left the room.
6	There's a couple of housekeeping matters.
7	Anything from either side?
8	MR. GREENBERG: No:
9	MS. CHANNAPATI: No.
10	THE COURT: We have to get the verdict sheet
11	initialed by each of you. I have to print it out. The
12	clerk has to get one, and you both have to endorse one.
13	THE COURT: All right. The other thing is
14	make sure that we have cellphone numbers. If we have them,
15	fine, and do we have a stipulation that if the jury asks
16	for exhibits they may receive the exhibits without the
17	lawyers being called back?
18	MS. CHANNAPATI: That's fine.
19	MR. GREENBERG: Absolutely, just when we're
20	not here, and we come back.
21	THE COURT: You want to know?
22	MR. GREENBERG: I want to know.
23	THE COURT: You would like to know about it.

William Cardenuto, CSR, Senior Court Reporter

(Recess taken.)

25

The verdict sheet is now Court Exhibit No. 1.

- Judge's Final Charge -Back on the case on trial, and the THE COURT: 1 first thing I'll do is refer to our notes. 2 MR. GREENBERG: Our notes? 3 THE COURT: It's plural, but let's see. 4 trying to put them in order. The first note that we got is 5 today's date, 2:55 p.m. "The jury would like to see the 6 pictures of the knife." 7 The second note we got is 3:38 p.m., "No. 23, 8 diagram of female anatomy. No. 14, 15, 16, Verizon 9 records." 10 4:35, "Detective Harvin's testimony read 11 back." 12 13 will consult, and we'll agree upon the read back. 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With the request for a read back, the lawyers

Now, let me -- the note came after I called for you, because I have my own issue. In my review of the charges, I now conclude -- the Court changes back and forth, but I have looked at it, and I now conclude that that attempted assault cannot be charged for this crime.

So, I would want -- the reason is you have to have the intent in that assault. So --

> MR. GREENBERG: I see.

THE COURT: So, it's no good. I think the way it's set up it would not be repugnant. I would like to get rid of it and give them the four counts since they haven't

1 rendered a verdict.

MR. GREENBERG: With respect to the read back, when you say the attorneys get together.

THE COURT: Oh, they look at sections and agree. These are the questions.

MS. CHANNAPATI: If they are asking for the whole thing --

THE COURT: We'll interpret it as the whole thing. We don't have to get together. It's the whole thing.

Logistically, it's going to take quite a time. It's going on 5:00 o'clock. So the question is: Do I do the read back?

I thought we could have the read back first thing in the morning and have the jury come back, but I need to know if there's any objection.

Off the record.

(Brief discussion held off the record.)

THE COURT: Back on the record.

The Court believes that we should do it in the morning. I know that defense is somewhat, you know, waivering, but I do say that it is well close to 5:00 o'clock, and the read back will not be completed until 6:00 p.m.

It is unlikely this jury intends to stay that

1.4

late. I can put on the record we are instructed through our administrators not to stay beyond 5:00 p.m. That is budgetary. It doesn't have anything to do with the case, but we're so instructed, and the reporter told me he has to leave this evening. So we would have to get a new court reporter in and that would be problematically difficult.

MR. GREENBERG: Understood.

THE COURT: So, with that, now, the question is: How do I tell the jury about this change of verdict sheet tonight?

MR. GREENBERG: I would do that as soon as possible.

THE COURT: I'll tell them that they don't have to consider that, and I'll give them a new verdict sheet. Counsel, I think I will do a new verdict sheet and let them see it.

MR. GREENBERG: Let's do a new sheet and -
THE COURT: I'll instruct them, and I'll give

it to them in the morning. If I give it to them now, it

just confuses things.

MR. GREENBERG: We'll fill it out in the morning and give it to them in the morning and instruct them that you're withdrawing that.

THE COURT: I've taken the last count off.

That doesn't count.

Okay. We can call for the jury.

THE COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.

(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom and is properly seated.)

THE CLERK: All jurors are present.

Both sides waive the reading of the roll?

MS. CHANNAPATI: So waived.

MR. GREENBERG: Yes.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury,

I want to put on the record that the Court has received

three notes from you today. I have let the lawyers be

aware, but I'll just put it on the record.

The first note dated today, June 7th, 2:55 p.m., "The jury would like to see the pictures of the knife." The second note dated today, 3:38 p.m., it lists certain exhibits, "No. 23, diagram of female anatomy; 14, 15, and 16, Verizon records."

Those were delivered to you, is that correct?

The third note is today's date, 4:35 p.m.,

"Detective Harvin's testimony read back."

We have discussed that, and it will be a long read back. It will take about an hour, we estimate; therefore, the Court will adjourn for this evening. We'll have the read back first thing in the morning, and you will continue your deliberations, of course.

Now, before I give you my instruction, as you are going to be excused for the evening, but you have commenced your deliberation, so I have, somwhat, a little more detailed instruction.

I also should report to you we have examined the verdict sheet and have decided that the last count, which is the attempt count, will not be presented to you; therefore, you will not be deliberating regarding that count, and I'll give you a clean verdict sheet in the morning, which will stop at Count 4.

Let me give you my instruction.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, today the court session is drawing to a close, and I'm about to excuse you for the day.

You must return to your jury room at 9:30 tomorrow. The law requires that before I excuse you, I review with you the rules that you must follow over the course of this recess.

These rules are designed to guarantee the parties a fair trial and are generally the same ones you are required to follow prior to your deliberations, but the law requires that I restate them now in order to emphasize their importance.

The reason for this emphasis is that you are at a critical stage. You are in the process of

deliberations, and you are not being sequestered. That means you are not being kept together overnight where we can have greater assurance that you are following the rules.

You are being permitted to go home after deliberations have begun. There might be a greater temptation, for example, to discuss the case with someone else or to go to the scene. You must resist those temptations.

To discuss the case with someone else or to visit the scene would not only violate my order, but would also violate the oath which you took to follow the rules.

Those rules are deliberation must be conducted only in the jury room when all the jurors are present; therefore, all deliberations must now cease and must not be resumed until all of you have returned tomorrow and are together again in the jury room.

During this recess, do not converse either amongst yourselves or with anyone else anything related to or about this case. You will remain under an obligation not to request, accept, agree to accept or discuss with any person the receiving or accepting of any payment or benefit in return for supplying any information concerning this trial.

You must promptly report directly to me any

incident within your knowledge involving an attempt by any person improperly to influence you or any member of the jury.

You must not visit or view the premises or place where the charged crime was allegedly committed or any other premises or place that was involved in the case.

You must not read, view, or listen to any accounts or discussions of the case that might be reported in the news media, such as, newspapers, television, radio, Internet, or any other newspaper.

You must not attempt to research any fact or issue of law related to this case whether by discussion or by researching in a library or the Internet or any other means.

I know you understand these rules are important, and that in accordance with your oath and promise to the Court, you will follow them.

I remind you that you are to return tomorrow at 9:30 a.m. promptly, please, because like the opera, the show cannot get started until everyone is here.

You will now be leaving your books for the court officers. You'll get them in the morning

THE COURT OFFICER: Step out, please.

THE COURT: It's just the books. They keep control of them.

	- Judge's Final Charge - 929
1	(Whereupon, the jury exits the courtroom.)
2	THE COURT: The jury has left the room. We're
3	adjourned until tomorrow at 9:30.
4	MR. GREENBERG: Thank you.
5	(Whereupon, the case on trial was adjourned to
6	June 8th, 2006.)
7	
8	
9	·
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

William Cardenuto, CSR, Senior Court Reporter

Case 1:10-cv-02173-NGG-LB Document 4-8 Filed 07/27/10 Page 35 of 72 PageID #: 672

					930		
	1	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGS - CRIMINAL TERM - PART: 3					
	2	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,					
	3						
	4	-against-		INDICTMENT NO. 2518/2003			
	5	DENNIS COLON,					
	6		Defendant.	X			
	7			320 JAY STREET BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11201 JUNE 8, 2006			
	8			JUNE 8, 2006			
	9	BEFORE:	·				
	10	НО	NORABLE JAMES F	. SULLIVAN,			
•	11		Justice a				
e v	12	AFFEARANCE					
	13		CHARLES J. HYNE	CS, ESQ. ney, Kings County			
	14	BY: ANITA CHANNAPATI, ESQ. BY: LOUISE COHEN, ESQ.					
	15		Assistant Distr		•		
	16						
	17		HARLAN GREENBER	RG, ESQ.			
	18	·	DENNIS PETRE 30 Vesey Street				
	19		New York, New Y Attorneys for t				
	20						
	21			lliam Cardenuto nior Court Reporter			
	22			• •			
		,					
	23						
	24						
	25						

Case 1:10-cv-02173-NGG-LB Document 4-8 Filed 07/27/10 Page 36 of 72 PageID #: 673

1	THE COURT: On the record.
2	Case on trial.
3	I've looked at the transcript, and there's
4	a couple of issues.
5	Page 760, at Line 12:
6	"QUESTION: What did he say?
7	"ANSWER: I asked him if he knew a young lady
8	by the name of Vera who lived at 1711 East 15th Street, a
9	Russian female, and he told me, `No,' he didn't know anyone
10	by the name of Vera, and he didn't know anyone at that
11	location. I asked him if he raped anyone at that location,
12	and he told me, 'No.'
13	"MR. GREENBERG: Objection.
14	"THE COURT: Overruled.
15	"MR. GREENBERG: Your Honor, may I?"
16	And then there was a discussion, and I,
17	ultimately, sustained the objection.
18	So the question is: Do we read what she said
19	before the objection or not?
20	MR. GREENBERG: I certainly submit to the
21	Court that I did not receive notes of that.
22	MS. CHANNAPATI: Your Honor, the People agree.
23	THE COURT: The People agree.
24	Then that's done.
25	There's another place that comes up. That's

at Page 800. I'll start I'll start at Line 4.
"QUESTION: Is that the only thing that the
defendant said to you that day?
"ANSWER: No, it's not.
"QUESTION: What else did he say?
"ANSWER: In regard to Russian massage
parlors?
"QUESTION: No. I'm talking about the entire
statement.
"ANSWER: Okay. He told me he did not know
the complainant. He didn't know anyone that lived at that
location. He frequented Russian massage parlors and
sometimes he meets females for"
"MR. GREENBERG: Objection.
"THE COURT: Sustained."
MS. CHANNAPATI: I would say we can strike
"and sometimes he meets females for." Why don't we do
that, after the word "parlors."
THE COURT: After the word "parlor."
"He told me he did not know the complainant.
He didn't know anyone that lived at that location. He
frequented Russian massage parlors."
MR. GREENBERG: That's the sum and substance
of the statement, except reversed.
THE COURT: There are several places where th

word "victim" is used, either by an inadvertence by the

People in questions or by the detective, and, of course,

she's not always instructed not to use the word "victim."

In these cases, the People believe we should

In these cases, the People believe we should read it as it was rather than amend each time?

MR. GREENBERG: There's a number of times where there were objections, and I believe that will be there.

THE COURT: With that, we would be giving the jury a new verdict sheet. It stops at No. 4 rather than going to No. 5.

Just for the record, we got the Burglary in the First Degree, and that relates to the allegation of using a knife, and that's Count 1.

We got Rape in the First Degree, that's Count 3, and then we got Sexual Abuse in the First Degree, which relates to finger to vagina, and that's Count 5, and, finally, we got Assault in the Second Degree, which is Count 6.

We'll put on the record, with regard to the verdict sheet, that we are substituting this new verdict sheet, which is dated today, June 8th. The other one was dated June 7th, and that will be the replacement in the court exhibits as Court Exhibit No. 1.

On consent?

934 MS. CHANNAPATI: Yes. 1 MR. GREENBERG: 2 THE COURT OFFICER: Jury entering. 3 (Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom and 4 is properly seated.) 5 THE CLERK: All jurors are present. 6 Do both sides waive the reading of the role? 7 MR. GREENBERG: Yes. 8 MS. CHANNAPATI: So waived. 9 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, 10 yesterday you commenced your deliberation, and you asked 11 for a read back of Detective Harvin's testimony, and so the 12 reporter is here to give you that read back. After the 13 read back is concluded, you will go back to the jury room 14 15 to resume your deliberations. As I indicated, you will have a changed 16 verdict sheet. It's dated today, and it has four counts 17 now rather than the five. We discussed that last night. 18 Now, we're ready for the read back. 19 (Whereupon, the testimony of Detective Harvin 20 was read back to the jury.) 21 THE COURT: The reporter indicates that he has 22 finished reading, and so you will now retire again to the 23 jury room to continue your deliberations. 24

William Cardenuto, CSR, Senior Court Reporter

25

(Whereupon, the jury exits the courtroom.)

1	THE COURT: We are adjourned until we hear
2	from the jury.
3	(Recess taken.)
4	THE COURT: Back on the record.
5	Court Exhibit No. 4. So, I guess it's note
6	No. 4; today's date; time, 2:17 p.m.; "The jury would like
7	all charges read back."
8	And by "all" that means from start to finish,
9	although they may be talking about the particular crime
10	charged. So when they come in, I'll ask them if they mean
11	all from beginning to end that I gave them or do they just
12	need the specific crimes.
13	MR. GREENBERG: Do you want them to put that
14	on a note?
15	THE COURT: Yeah, it's easier, and then they
16	can tell me what they want.
17	MR. GREENBERG: Yeah. Ask the question and
18	then send them back.
19	THE COURT: Okay. We're ready.
20	THE COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.
21	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom and
22	is properly seated.)
23	THE CLERK: All jurors are present.
24	Do both sides waive the reading of the roll?
25	MR. GREENBERG: Yes.

б

MS. CHANNAPATI: So waived.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I have received a note dated today. The time is 2:17 p.m., and the message is: "The jury would like all charges read back."

Now, you will recall when I gave you my charges I said these instructions will be in three parts. The first part is a general statement of the law that is applicable to all trials in criminal cases. The second part is the statement of the law as it relates to this particular case, and the third part was instructions regarding the conduct of your jury deliberation.

What the Court does not know is whether you want all of them, one, two, three, or just one of those parts. I need to send you back into the jury room to discuss that. If you want it all, you can tell me that. If you want just a portion, you will tell me that by a note.

All right.

(Whereupon, the jury exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: The note says, "Please, read

charges, parts two and three."

MR. GREENBERG: Which are?

THE COURT: Which are the crimes and their conduct during deliberations.

We can have them back.

THE COURT OFFICER: Ready for the jury?

THE COURT: Yes.

THE COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.

(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom and is properly seated.)

THE CLERK: All jurors are present.

Do both sides waive the reading of the roll?

MR. GREENBERG: Yes.

MS. CHANNAPATI: Yes.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you promptly gave me a note back. The time is 2:45. It says, "Please, read charges, parts two and three."

So, as I indicated, Part 2 is a statement of the law as it relates to this particular case, and Part 3 is instruction regarding your jury deliberations.

Count 1 of the indictment charges the defendant with Burglary in the First Degree. Under our law, a person is guilty of Burglary in the First Degree when that person knowingly, as it relates to this case, remains unlawfully in a dwelling with the intent to commit a crime therein and when in effecting or while in the dwelling or in immediate flight therefrom the person uses or threatens the immediate use of a dangerous instrument.

Some of the terms used in this definition have

their own special meaning in our law. I'll now give you the meaning of the following terms -- "dwelling,"
"unlawfully," "knowingly," "intent," and "dangerous instrument."

A dwelling is a building which is usually occupied by a person lodging therein at night. When a building consists of two or more units separately secured or occupied, each unit shall be deemed both a separate building in itself and a part of the main building.

A person remains unlawfully in a dwelling when that person has no license or privilege to remain in that dwelling. To have no license or privilege to remain means to have no right, permission, or authority to do so.

A person knowingly remains unlawfully in a dwelling when that person is aware that he or she is remaining in such dwelling without license or privilege to do so.

Intent means conscious objective or purpose.

Thus, a person has the intent to commit a crime in a dwelling when that person's conscious objective or purpose is to commit a crime in that dwelling.

The crime of burglary is separate and distinct from any crime which a person may commit within the dwelling. The crime of burglary is complete when a person knowingly remains in a dwelling unlawfully and does so with

the intent to commit a crime in the dwelling, regardless of whether that person ever commits or even attempts to commit any crime in the dwelling.

Dangerous instrument means any instrument, article, or substance, including a vehicle, which under the circumstances in which it is used, attempted to be used, or threatened to be used, is readily capable of causing death or other serious physical injury, that's serious and protracted disfigurement, protracted impairment of health, or protracted loss or impairment of the function of any bodily organ. Under that definition death or other serious physical injury need not, in fact, be caused.

In order for to you find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove from all of the evidence in the case beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following four elements:

One, that on or about March 26th, 2003, in the County of Kings, the defendant, Dennis Colon, unlawfully remained in a dwelling located at 1711 East 15th Street, Apartment 1-A, in Brooklyn, New York.

That the defendant did so knowingly, that the defendant did so with the intent to commit a crime inside the dwelling, that while in the dwelling or in immediate flight therefrom, the defendant used or threatened the immediate use of a dangerous instrument, namely, a knife.

2 3

Therefore, if you find that the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt each of those elements, you must find the defendant guilty of the crime of Burglary in the First Degree as charged in Count 1.

On the other hand, if you find that the people have not proven beyond a reasonable doubt any one or more of those elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime of Burglary is the First Degree as charged in Count 1.

Count 2 of the indictment charges the defendant with Rape in the First Degree. Under our law, a person is guilty of Rape in the First Degree when he or she engages in sexual intercourse with another person without that person's consent by means of forcible compulsion.

I'll now give you the meaning of the following terms used in that definition -- sexual intercourse without a person's consent and forcible compulsion.

Sexual intercourse has it's ordinary meaning and occurs upon penetration however slight. Sexual intercourse takes place without a person's consent when it results from forcible compulsion.

Forcible compulsion means to intentionally compel, either by the use of physical force or by a threat, expressed or implied, which places a person in fear of immediate death or physical injury to himself or herself or

in fear that he or she will immediately be kidnapped.

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove from all of the evidence in this case beyond a reasonable doubt both of the following two elements:

One, that on or about March 26th, 2003, in the County of Kings, the defendant, Dennis Colon, engaged in sexual intercourse with Vera Krioutchkova, and, two, that the defendant did so without Vera Krioutchkova's consent as a result of the defendant's use of forcible compulsion.

Therefore, if you find that the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt both of those elements, you must find the defendant guilty of Rape in the First Degree as charged this in Count 2.

On the other hand, if you find that the People have not proven beyond a reasonable doubt either one or both of those elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime of Rape in the First Degree as charged in Count 2.

Count 3 of the indictment charges the defendant with the crime of Sexual Abuse in the First Degree. Under our law, a person is guilty of Sexual Abuse in the First Degree when he or she subjects another person to sexual contact by a forcible compulsion.

Under our law, it is also an element of this

offense that the sexual act was committed without consent.

Sexual contact takes place without a person's consent when
the lack of consent results from forcible compulsion.

Some of the terms used in this definition have their own special meaning in our law. I'll now give you the meaning of the following terms -- sexual contact without a person's consent and forcible compulsion.

Sexual contact means any touching of the sexual or other intimate parts of a person for the purpose of gratifying the sexual desire of either party. It includes the touching of the actor by that person as well as the touching of that person by the actor, whether that is directly or through clothing.

I have previously defined for you the term "forcible compulsion." Sexual contact takes place without a person's consent when it results from forcible compulsion.

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove from all the evidence in the case beyond a reasonable doubt both of the following two elements:

One, that on or about March 26th, 2003, in the County of Kings, the defendant, Dennis Colon, subjected Vera Krioutchkova to sexual contact by touching his finger to her vagina, and, two, that the defendant did so without

Vera Krioutchkova's consent by the use of forcible compulsion.

Therefore, if you find that the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt both of those elements, you must find the defendant guilty of the crime of Sexual Abuse in the First Degree as charged in Count 3.

On the other hand, if you find that the People have not proven beyond a reasonable doubt either one or both of those elements, you must find defendant not guilty of the crime of Sexual Abuse in the First Degree as charged in Count 3.

Count 4 of the indictment charges the defendant with the crime of Assault in the Second Degree.

Under our law, a person is guilty of Assault in the Second Degree when in the course of and in furtherance of the commission of a felony, which in this case would be the committing of the felonies of Burglary in the First Degree, Rape in the First Degree, or Sexual Abuse in the First Degree, that person causes physical injury to a person other than one of the participants in the crime.

Some of the terms used in this definition have their own special meaning in our law. I'll now give you the meaning of the term "physical injury."

Physical injury means impairment of physical condition or substantial pain. If you find that physical

injury was caused by the defendant, then it does not matter that the physical injury was caused unintentionally or accidentally rather than with an intention to cause physical injury or that it resulted from the victim's fear or fright.

I have previously defined for you the underlying felony offense of Rape in the First Degree,

Sexual Abuse in the First Degree, and Burglary in the First Degree.

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove from all the evidence in the case beyond a reasonable doubt both of the following two elements:

One, that on or about March 23th, 2003, in the County of Kings, the defendant, Dennis Colon, committed the crime of Burglary in the First Degree and or Rape in the First Degree and or Sexual Abuse in the First Degree, and that, in the course of and in furtherance of the commission of any of the felonies charged herein, namely, the Burglary in the First Degree and or Rape in the First Degree and or Sexual Abuse in the First Degree, the defendant in the commission of any of those felonies, caused physical injury to Vera Krioutchkova and that Vera Krioutchkova was not a participant in that felony.

Therefore, if you find that the People have

William Cardenuto, CSR, Senior Court Reporter

proven beyond a reasonable doubt both of those elements, you must find the defendant guilty of the crime of Assault in the Second Degree as charged in Count 4.

On the other hand, if you find that the People have not proven beyond a reasonable doubt either one or both of those elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime of Assault in the Second Degree as charged in Count 4.

Now that section of my instruction which relates to the conduct of your deliberation.

In order to reach a verdict, each juror must agree to such verdict. You have the duty as jurors to consult with one another and to deliberate with a view to reaching an agreement if it can be done without giving up individual judgment.

As jurors, each one of you must decide the case for yourself, but only after an impartial consideration of the evidence with your fellow jurors.

No juror should give up any honestly held conviction as to the weight or effect of the evidence solely because of the opinion of your fellow jurors or for the mere purpose of returning your verdict.

During the course of your deliberations, you, as individuals, should not hesitate to re-examine your own views and change your opinion if convinced it is erroneous.

If, during the course of your deliberation, your recollection of any part the testimony should fail or if you find yourself in doubt concerning my instruction on the law, you have the option to return to the courtroom and have whatever testimony or charge read back to you.

Your foreperson, Juror No. 1, should write out your request, sign it, and seal it an envelope, and give it to the court officer and the court officer will give it to me.

As soon as the court staff, the lawyers, and the defendant are assembled, you'll be called back into the courtroom, and I'll promptly respond to your request as indicated.

Certain exhibits have been received in evidence during the course of the trial. They will be available to you for your examination during the course of your deliberation in the jury room.

After you have started deliberating, you may request that one or more exhibits which were received in evidence be delivered to you in the jury room. In order to get them delivered, simply make out that request, and you've already done that.

In order to reach a verdict, all 12 of the jury must agree. Your verdict must be unanimous. Whenever all your members are in agreement on a verdict, you must

report your verdict to the Court. When you have reached a verdict, simply tell the court officer that you have reached a verdict, and you will be promptly called to the courtroom to announce your verdict.

The next instruction was about the alternates, and they are discharged, and the final portion was I now submit the case to you and for you to go in there and render a fair and impartial verdict without fear, favor, or sympathy.

Okay.

(Whereupon, the jury exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: The jury has exited. We'll await further word.

(Recess taken.)

THE COURT: All the parties are present. We have received a note. "The jury has reached a verdict."

So we can call the jury in.

THE COURT OFFICER: Ready for the jury, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

THE COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.

(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom and is properly seated.)

THE CLERK: All jurors are present.

Do both sides waive the reading of the roll?

•	
. 1	MR. GREENBERG: Yes.
2	MS. CHANNAPATI: So waived.
3	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I have
4	received a note indicating today's date, and the time is
5	4:10, and it says, "The jury has reached a verdict."
6	Is that so?
7	The clerk will take over.
8	THE CLERK: Will the foreperson please stand.
9	In the matter of the People of the State of
10	New York versus the Defendant, Dennis Colon, has the jury
11	agreed upon a verdict?
12	THE FOREPERSON: Yes.
13	THE CLERK: As to the first count of Burglary
14	in the First Degree, how do you find the defendant, guilty
15	or not guilty?
16	THE FOREPERSON: Guilty.
17	THE CLERK: As to the second count, Rape in
18	the First Degree, how do you find the defendant, guilty or
19	not guilty?
20	THE FOREPERSON: Guilty.
21	THE CLERK: As to the third count, Sex Abuse
22	in the First Degree, finger to vagina, how do you find the
23	defendant, guilty or not guilty?
24	THE FOREPERSON: Guilty.
25	THE CLERK: As to the fourth count of Assault

in the Second Degree, how do you find the defendant, guilty 1 2 or not guilty? THE FOREPERSON: Guilty. 3 THE CLERK: Please, be seated. 4 Members of the jury, please hear your verdict 5 as it stands recorded by the court through your foreperson. 6 You say you find the defendant, Dennis Colon, 7 as to the first count of Burglary in the First Degree, 8 guilty; as to the second count of Rape in the First Degree, 9 quilty; as to the third count of Sexual Abuse in the First 10 Degree, guilty; as to the fourth count of Assault in the 11 Second Degree, guilty. 12 Members of the jury, is that your verdict so 13 14 say you all? (Whereupon, the jury affirms their verdict.) 15 THE COURT: Does either side wish to have the 16 17 jury polled? MR. GREENBERG: Yes. 1.8 THE CLERK: Members of the jury, is the 19 verdict announced by your foreperson your verdict in all 20 respects? 21 Juror No. 1, is that your verdict? 22 JUROR NO. 1: Yes. 23 THE CLERK: Juror No. 2, is that your verdict? 24 JUROR NO. 2: Yes. 25

- Verdict -

950

1		THE CLERK: Juror No. 3, is that your verdict?
2		JUROR NO. 3: Yes.
3		THE CLERK: Juror No. 4, is that your verdict?
4		JUROR NO. 4: Yes.
5		THE CLERK: Juror No. 5, is that your verdict?
6		JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
7		THE CLERK: Juror No. 6, is that your verdict?
8		JUROR NO. 6: Yes.
9		THE CLERK: Juror No. 7, is that your verdict?
10		JUROR NO. 7: Yes.
11		THE CLERK: Juror No. 8, is that your verdict?
12		JUROR NO. 8: Yes.
13		THE CLERK: Juror No. 9, is that your verdict?
14		JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
15		THE CLERK: Juror No. 10, is that your
16	verdict?	
17		JUROR NO. 10: Yes.
18		THE CLERK: Juror No. 11, is that your
19	verdict?	
20		JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
21		THE CLERK: Juror No. 12, is that your
22	verdict?	
23		JUROR NO. 12: Yes.
24		THE CLERK: The jury affirms the verdict as
25	their own.	

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, the Court thanks you for your dedicated service in this case. As jurors, thank you for the care, concern, attention, and concentration that you have given to your deliberation.

Here, the Court thanks each and everyone of you. Neither this court or any other court could function without a jury such as you.

Ladies and gentlemen, the jury is a touchstone of freedom under the law which we all hold dearly. Your service as jurors is one of the highest duties which any citizen could be called upon to perform.

In the fulfillment of your service as members of the jury, you have reflected the best traditions of a free society.

prior to leaving the courtroom, for your information, the law zealously guards the secrecy of your deliberation. You need not open to anyone anything concerning your deliberation -- what you said, what you talked about, what your fellow jurors said. As jurors, whatever you decide may remain locked in the secrecy that surrounded your deliberation. The book is closed.

On the other hand, you are free to discuss the case with anyone whom you choose. The choice is yours.

You're now dismissed. I want to thank you again for your

1	excellent service.
2	(Whereupon, the jury exits the courtroom.)
3	THE COURT: The Court is still in session.
4	The jury has exited.
5	Let me hear from either side.
6	MS. CHANNAPATI: Your Honor, at this time the
7	People request remand.
8	THE COURT: The People are requesting remand.
9	There was pending a motion for trial order of
10	dismissal. That is denied at this time.
11	Anything else?
12	MR. GREENBERG: I would just move to ask for a
13	directed verdict.
14	THE COURT: In other words, you're moving to
15	set aside that verdict?
16	MR. GREENBERG: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Counsel, you can put that in
18	writing.
19	I'm going to set aside a date for sentence.
20	THE CLERK: 7/20.
21	THE COURT: The date has been suggested as
22	July 20th.
23	MR. GREENBERG: Yes, that's fine.
24	THE COURT: 7/20.
25	Of course, Counsel, any motion would give the

Qase 1:10-cv-02173-NGG-LB Document 4-8 Filed 07/27/10 Page 59 of 72 PageID #: 696 - Verdict -People time to respond and the Court time to decide it. The defendant is remanded. Exonerate the bail. (End of proceedings.) It is hereby certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings. William Cardenuto Senior Court Reporter Deborah Rothrock Senior Court Reporter

William Cardenuto, CSR, Senior Court Reporter

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF KINGS : CRIMINAL TERM : PART 4

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK : INDICTMENT NO.

3718/06

- against -

JEFFREY MILLER

DEFENDANT : CALENDAR CALL

320 JAY STREET BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11201

SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

BEFORE:

HONORABLE MATTHEW J. D'EMIC, JUSTICE

APPEARANCES:

CHARLES J. HYNES, ESQ. District Attorney, Kings County BY: ENETRA PATTERSON, ESQ. Assistant District Attorney

PETER GUADAGNINO, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant 50 Court Street Brooklyn, New York___

> VINCENT M. GERALDI, JR. SENIOR COURT REPORTER

1
/.

PROCEEDINGS

11	
1	THE CLERK: Calendar number two, Indictment
2	3718 of '06, Jeffrey Miller.
3	MR. GUADAGNINO: For Mr. Jeffrey Miller,
4	Peter Guadagnino, 50 Court Street, Brooklyn, New York.
5	Good morning.
6	THE COURT: Good morning.
7	MS. PATTERSON: Enetra Patterson, for the
8	People.
9	Your Honor, defense counsel spoke with the
10	assistant and told him that he wouldn't be ready today.
11	The assistant is asking for October 3rd.
12	MR. GUADAGNINO: Is it possible to get
13	October 9th, a firm trial date, the day after
14	Columbus Day?
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	Actually, that's a Tuesday. So, how is
17	October 11th?
18	MR. GUADAGNINO: That's fine, Judge.
19	THE COURT: October 11th, a firm trial date.
20	MR. GUADAGNINO: Thank you, your Honor. I
21	appreciate it.
22	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
23	MR. GUADAGNINO: Yes.
24	Your Honor, my client and I have discussed
25	very briefly the possibility that he may opt for a bench

trial. That hasn't been fully decided yet. I just 1 wanted to let the Court know that in anticipation of the 2 October 11th date. 3 THE COURT: All right. I would just say a 4 couple of things. He can make that decision at the 5 time --6 MR. GUADAGNINO: Yes. 7 THE COURT: -- and he would have to waive his 8 right to a jury in writing. You know, he's giving up 9 his right to a jury, that means he wants me to try the 10 facts as well as be the arbiter of the law in the case. 11 I just want everybody to know, you know, I 12 call it as I see it. It's not like a bench trial with a 13 wink, and, you know, you get a certain result. I'll 14 listen to the evidence, and I'll see what it shows. 15 Okay? 16 That's right. MR. GUADAGNINO: 17 THE COURT: You understand that, right, 18 Mr. Miller? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: I just want everybody to know 21 that. 22 Okay? 23 MR. GUADAGNINO: Yes, Judge. 24

THE COURT: All right.

	~~~~~
PROCEED	1 NI - ~
- アベン・ロンドレ	

It wouldn't be the first one I did. I just want everybody to understand that.

You can think about it, talk to each other, and let me know what you want to do.

MR. GUADAGNINO: I'll try and let the Court know before that date.

THE COURT: All right. That'll be nice. But if you don't, you know. It's a big decision, so you'll make it together.

MR. GUADAGNINO: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Would he like a visit with his

wife?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

Thank you.

MR. GUADAGNINO: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

(Courtroom visit granted)

18

(At this time, the above-captioned matter is adjourned to October 11, 2007, for further proceedings)

21

22

20

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

. 15

16

17

CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES TAKEN IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED PROCEEDING.

23

VINCENT M. GERALDI, JR SENIOR COURT REPORTER

24

1	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGS - TRIAL TERM - PART 3
2	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK.
3	2518-0
4	-against-
5	DENNIS COLON,
6	Defendant.
7	Indictment
. 8	No. 2518/03 320 Jay Street INDETERMINATE SENTENCE Brooklyn, New York 11201
9	July 20, 2006
10 .	BEFORE:
11	THE HONORABLE JAMES P. SULLIVAN,
12	NYSD# 103254/M Justice
13	APPEARANCES:
14	
15	THE HONORABLE CHARLES J. HYNES DISTRICT ATTORNEY - KINGS COUNTY
16	Attorney for the People 350 Renaissance Plaza
17	Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: ANITA CHANNAPATI, ESQ.
18	Assistant District Attorney
19	HARLEN GREENBERG, ESQ.
20	Attorney for the Defendant 30 Vesey Street
21	New York, New York
22	
23	
24	DAVID R. SEDACCA OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	OFFICIAL COOK! KEFORIEK

1	THE CLERK: This is calendar number one on the
2	Part 3 Calendar, Indictment Number 2518 of 2003.
3	Counsel, your appearances.
4	MR. GREENBERG: Harlen Greenberg, 30 Vesey
5	Street, New York, New York.
6	MS. CHANNAPATI: Anita Channapati, the office
7	of the District Attorney.
8	Good morning, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Good morning.
10	This is People versus Dennis Colon, number one
11	on the calendar.
12	The case is on today for sentence.
13	The People have previously sent the Court a
14	letter whereby the People are asking for a sentence of
15	the maximum time on a consecutive basis which would be 25
16	plus 25 which would be 50 years' jail.
17	The defendant has sent in a package which
18	consists of letters of support and also a memorandum
19	regarding Mr. Colon.
20	I have in the file, of course, a report from
21	the Department of Probation, and I'll just take a look at
22	it.
23	(Pause in the proceedings.)
24	THE COURT: The one thing I'm trying to figure
25	out yes. With regard to the defendant's statement,

### Case 1:10-cv-02173-NGG-LB Document 4-8 Filed 07/27/10 Page 66 of 72 PageID #: 303

the first part of it is from the Probation Department. 1 They obtained their information from reviewing the court 2 file, but then they do indicate that on June 13th, 2006, 3 the defendant was interviewed, and they say he denies 4 commission of this offense. 5 With regard to the Victim's Impact Statement, 6 they were not able to contact the victim so there is no 7 statement from the victim. 8 Other than that, the Probation Department 9 10

Other than that, the Probation Department summary, the last paragraph says: "The defendant emerges as an individual who presents a serious risk to the community. Incarceration is mandatory. With incarceration, the defendant may benefit from sexual-offender counseling."

So we have all of that on the record now. It would be time to proceed to sentence unless I hear anything from either side.

MS. CHANNAPATI: Nothing, your Honor.

MR. GREENBERG: No.

THE COURT: The clerk will ask some questions.

THE CLERK: Mr. Colon, you're before this Court for sentencing following your conviction after trial to the crimes of Burglary in the First Degree, Rape in the First Degree, Sexual Abuse in the First Degree and Assault in the Second Degree.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## Case 1:10 cv-02173-NGG-LB Document 4-8 Filed 07/27/10 Page 67 of 72 PageID #: \$04 Sentence/Colon

Before pronouncing sentence, the Court will allow you, your attorney and the District Attorney to address the Court.

MS. CHANNAPATI: Your Honor, very briefly, you heard the testimony at trial. The jury found the defendant guilty of all charges, and we ask that he be sentenced appropriately.

THE COURT: All right.

Defense counsel?

MR. GREENBERG: Your Honor, you had an opportunity to review the memorandum that I submitted.

Mr. Colon -- this is Mr. Colon's -- this was
Mr. Colon's first arrest, first contact with the criminal
justice system in any way. He has lived a life
essentially whereby he has helped the community over the
course of his employment, starting at the age of 19, up
until the time of his arrest, and actually after this
arrest helping the community with housing issues and
helping the people who had difficulty with their homes
and landlords over a long, long period of time. He's had
a long history of community involvement, community
service.

I also submitted over a dozen letters from people who Mr. Colon has had contact with over the last 15 years. These people have indicated that had Mr. Colon

# Case 1:10-cv-02173-NGG-LB Document 4-8 Filed 07/27/10 Page 68 of 72 PageID #5705 Sentence/Colon

has always been an individual that was accessible, helpful, polite and community-minded.

I'm going to ask you to take all of that into consideration, your Honor, when you sentence Mr. Colon.

I would also just like to point out to the

Court that approximately a year and a half ago, not with

this assistant, but with a prior assistant, Miss Cohn,

there were some serious discussions with respect to a

plea. At that point -- and this was after I had

submitted the previous pre-pleading memo. Miss Cohn and

I had engaged in another round of plea discussions. Miss

Cohn had essentially offered me and asked whether Mr.

Colon would be interested in an eight-year sentence.

I had discussions with Mr. Colon at that point, and at that time he had rejected that offer.

But, at that point in time, that was the People's position even after that. Prior to that, their recommendation was substantially higher.

At that point, after this case had been pending for a long time, they had an idea and a position that had substantially changed even after the pre-pleading memo that was submitted where we discussed the recommendation with the Court.

I'd also like to point out that for the entire time this case was pending Mr. Colon was out,

#### Case 1:10-cv-02173-NGG-LB Document 4-8 Filed 07/27/10 Page 69 of 72 PageID #: 806 Sentence/Colon

responsible, just as he has been throughout his entire 1 life. 2 3 4 . 5 6 7 8 the minimum rather than the maximum. 9 10 certainly --11 MR. GREENBERG: Your Honor? 12 13 statement? 14 15 (Pause in the proceedings.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

I'm going to ask you to take all of this into consideration when sentencing him. I'm going to ask you to sentence him closer to the minimum allowable under the He has broad range with respect to the top charges, and I'm going to ask that you sentence him concurrently on all charges and sentence him to something closer to

THE COURT: Well, in this case the Court

THE COURT: Yes. The defendant wants to make a

(Defendant and counsel confer off the record.)

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I just wanted to say that the reason I have cooperateed in this case since the very beginning and continue to do so is because I have always thought since the beginning of this case that this has been a horrible misunderstanding. I thought that would come to light in our testimonies.

For legal reasons, I wasn't allowed to testify or state my part of the story, but my thoughts were that this would all be cleared up and it would come to light

## Case 1:10 cv-02173-NGG-LB Document 4-8 Filed 07/27/10 Page 70 of 72 PageID #: 707 Sentence/Colon

from the very beginning.

THE COURT: All right. Is that your statement, Mr. Colon?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

The Court, as I was going to say, has had familiarity with this case. It certainly was one of the cases I got from the start of the sex crimes part, and I had looked at the various, shall I say, legal arguments that were raised.

I would note that there were discussions regarding a possible plea. I did write sometime ago that there had been discussions regarding an eight-year offer. I believe that there were discussions that I did not write that the Court had unofficially offered ten years. So, certainly, those numbers would be relevant in any consideration.

The Court has looked at the letters, and, clearly, Mr. Colon has led an exemplary life but for this event. He did state to the Probation Department that he maintains his innocence, and I always say at sentence you may maintain your innocence but it has to be before an appeals court. As far as this Court is concerned, you are guilty because the case was taken to trial before a jury and the jury found you guilty of all charges.

## Case 1:10 cv-02173-NGG-LB Document 4-8 Filed 07/27/10 Page 71 of 72 PageID #: 808 Sentence/Colon

Therefore, the only possible change in the concept of guilt is if for whatever reason an appeals court makes their decision. But I don't look at that.

I'm not an appellate court. I can look at the aspects before me, that you were convicted of these crimes after a trial by jury.

The sentence will now be imposed: With regard to burglary one and burglary two -- I'm sorry -- burglary one and rape one, 25 years' jail, concurrent. Then five years' jail on sex abuse one, consecutive. That's a total of 30 years' jail. Five years' post-release. Final order of protection. Mandatory surcharge and court fees will be taken out of inmate funds. The sentence is imposed.

MR. GREENBERG: Your Honor, may we approach for just a second?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Discussion held off the record.)

(Pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: I am reminded that there is another charge that we have to cover. Burglary one, rape one, sex abuse one and assault two. So the assault two gets included. On assault two he gets five years' concurrent with the five on sex abuse one. The sentence is imposed.

22.

### MS. CHANNAPATI: Your Honor, I'm going to be 1 handing up an Order of Protection. 2 THE COURT: I'll sign it. 3 MR. GREENBERG: I've advised my client of his 4 right to appeal. 5 THE COURT: Yes, and that is on the record. 6 The Court is being handed up the Order of 7 Protection and the Court is signing it. 8 Thank you. 9 MS. CHANNAPATI: Thank you, your Honor. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 It is hereby certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate 21 transcript of the proceedings. 22 DAVID R. SEDACCA 23 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 24

Case 1:10-cv-02173-NGG-LB Document 4-8 Filed 07/27/10 Page 72 of 72 PageID #:9709

Sentence/Colon